

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,590	11/10/2005	Stephen Robert Tennison	MAST-6-PCT	2907
22434 7590 06/14/2007 BEYER WEAVER LLP P.O. BOX 70250			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, DANIEL H	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/551,590	TENNISON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel Miller	1775			
The MAILING E	OATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the se	GER, FROM THE MAILING Downsiable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. Sified above, the maximum statutory period of the total period for reply will, by statute fice later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: , cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is F	· —					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ciosed in accord	aance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			·			
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) for Applicant may not Replacement dra	• • • •	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	aration is objected to by the Ex	tammer, Note the attached C	office Action of form PTO-192.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
a) All b) Son 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of application	at is made of a claim for foreign me * c) ☐ None of: copies of the priority document copies of the priority document f the certified copies of the prior on from the International Burea detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	-1 (DTO 000)	о п	(070 440)			
 Notice of References Cit Notice of Draftsperson's Information Disclosure Single Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 35-51, drawn to a method of making a shaped porous material.

Group II, claim(s) 52-59, drawn to a shaped porous material.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Independent claim 52 (group II) requires the secondary component to be one of or a mixture of a particular Markush group while independent method claim 35 (of group I) does not specify what the secondary component comprises. Therefore, the groups do not share a single inventive concept.
- 3. A telephone call was made to the attorney of record on 5/16/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1534. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,590 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel Miller

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 6/10/7